Prevalence and Pattern of Maxillary Wisdom Tooth Impaction and Angulation in

Relation to the Maxillary Sinus among Yemeni Students

Prevalência e padrão de impactação e angulação do dente do siso maxilar em relação ao seio

maxilar entre estudantes iemenitas

Prevalencia y patrón de impactación y angulación de la muela del juicio maxilar en relación con el seno maxilar entre estudiantes yemeníes

Received: 07/19/2022 | Reviewed: 07/29/2022 | Accept: 08/01/2022 | Published: 08/09/2022

Faisal Abulohom ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9074-4171 Ibb University, Yemen E-mail: fzshwgr@gmail.com Hesham Mohammed Al-Sharani ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9576-2408 Ibb University, Yemen Harbin Medical University, China E-mail: hishamm2010@live.com Zakarya Al-Muaalemi ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1729-1193 Jiblah University for Medical and Health Sciences, Yemen E-mail: dent.zak2010@gmail.com Abdalhaq Hussin Alhasani ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5230-188X Ibb University, Yemen E-mail: alhasani1970@hotmail.com Nassr Abdalwhab Al-Hutbany ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5379-6741 Ibb University, Yemen E-mail: nassralhut@gmail.com **Mubarak Ahmed Mashrah** ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4372-0465 Stomatology Hospital, China Guangzhou Medical University, China E-mail: Mubarak198226@gmail.com **Ekaterina Diachkova** ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4388-8911 Sechenov University, Russia E-mail: dyachkova_e_yu_1@staff.sechenov.ru **Tenglong Hu** ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1484-6210 Harbin Medical University, China E-mail: flylong_26@sina.com

Abstract

The goal of this study was to determine the prevalence of maxillary third molar impaction and angulation, as well as its relationship to the maxillary sinus, in a group of Yemeni students. Panoramic x-rays were used to assess 200 students, 102 men and 98 females, in this retrospective investigation. Chi-square tests were used to assess age, gender, maxillary sinus approximation to maxillary third molar roots, depth of impaction, and angulation. A total of 327 maxillary third molars were examined; the most congenitally missing maxillary third molar was on the right side, and females (10.25%) had more maxillary third molars engaged in the maxillary sinus than males (8.0%) (4.9%). Type A (52.9%) was the most common according to Pell and Gregory's classification, although vertical angulations of the maxillary third molar were seen more frequently (85.32%). Congenitally missing maxillary third molars on a vertical level. **Keywords:** Maxillary third molar; Impaction; Angulation; Maxillary sinus; Health teaching.

Resumo

O objetivo deste estudo foi determinar a prevalência de impacção e angulação de terceiros molares superiores, bem como sua relação com o seio maxilar, em um grupo de estudantes iemenitas. Radiografias panorâmicas foram usadas para avaliar 200 alunos, 102 homens e 98 mulheres, nesta investigação retrospectiva. Testes de qui-quadrado foram usados para avaliar idade, sexo, aproximação do seio maxilar às raízes dos terceiros molares superiores, profundidade de impacção e angulação. Um total de 327 terceiros molares superiores foram examinados; o terceiro molar superior mais ausente congenitamente foi do lado direito, e as mulheres (10,25%) tiveram mais terceiros molares superiores engajados no seio maxilar do que os homens (8,0%) (4,9%). O tipo A (52,9%) foi o mais comum segundo a classificação de Pell e Gregory, embora as angulações verticais do terceiro molar superior tenham sido observadas com maior frequência (85,32%). Terceiros molares superiores congenitamente ausentes são mais comuns no sexo feminino, e a posição A foi a mais comum entre os terceiros molares superiores em nível vertical. **Palavras-chave:** Terceiro molar maxilar; Impactação; Angulação; Seio maxilar; Ensino em saúde.

Resumen

El objetivo de este estudio fue determinar la prevalencia de la impactación y angulación del tercer molar maxilar, así como su relación con el seno maxilar, en un grupo de estudiantes yemeníes. Se utilizaron radiografías panorámicas para evaluar a 200 estudiantes, 102 hombres y 98 mujeres, en esta investigación retrospectiva. Se utilizaron pruebas de chi-cuadrado para evaluar la edad, el sexo, la aproximación del seno maxilar a las raíces del tercer molar superior, la profundidad de la impactación y la angulación. Se examinaron un total de 327 terceros molares maxilares; el tercer molar superior faltante congénitamente estaba en el lado derecho, y las mujeres (10,25 %) tenían más terceros molares superiores encajados en el seno maxilar que los hombres (8,0 %) (4,9 %). El tipo A (52,9%) fue el más común según la clasificación de Pell y Gregory, aunque las angulaciones verticales del tercer molar superior se observaron con mayor frecuencia (85,32%). Los terceros molares maxilares que faltan congénitamente son más comunes en las mujeres, y la posición A fue la más común entre los terceros molares maxilares en un nivel vertical.

Palabras clave: Tercer molar maxilar; Impactación; Angulación; Seno maxilar; Enseñanza en la salud.

1. Introduction

Third molars are the most often teeth congenitally missing. (Alling, C. C., et al., 1993) If present, they are usually the last tooth to erupt in human dentition, and thus, it is subjected to impactions which are considered a pathological condition which return to the cause by the end of adolescence, the jaw has almost reached the adult size, but it happens that sometimes it is not large enough to support the development of the last erupted wisdom teeth, when this occurs, these teeth fail to erupt and stay embedded in the bone and erupt where there is less resistance. This condition takes place more in females than males due to the smaller female's jaws. Therefore, the prevalence of impacted third molars is between 16.7% - 68.6%. (Alsadat-Hashemipour, Tet al., 2012; Hugoson & Kugelberg 1988; Mead 1930; Quek et al. 2003)

Maxillary third molars have a higher degree of impaction than the mandibular third molars leading to many clinical complications like facial pain, periodontitis, carious lesions, adjacent roots resorption, cysts, tumors, teeth crowding, malocclusion, and even sinusitis if inflamed tooth.(Brauer 2009; Demirtas & Harorli 2016; Lysell & Rohlin 1988; Nakayama et al. 2009)

When maxillary third molars are extracted, it also leads to many postsurgical implications such as maxillary tuberosity fracture, maxillary sinus perforation, or maybe displacement of teeth or roots to the maxillary sinus. (Bouquet et al. 2004; Demirtas & Harorli 2016; Patel & Down 1994; Primo et al. 2014)

The classification of maxillary third molars impaction allows surgeons to communicate easier with other colleagues, and enhance the management of post-surgical problems. Many studies are evaluating the relationships between the inferior border of the maxillary sinus and roots of maxillary third molars by panoramic radiograph. Several investigations have shown the relationship between the roots of mandibular third molars and mandibular canal using panoramic radiograph; However, few studies on the type of impaction of the third molars angulation and position. (Bouquet et al. 2004; Jung, et al., 2012; Katakam 2012; Obayashi et al. 2009; Şekerci & Şişman 2013)

Panoramic radiography is widely used in dentistry because it is fast, inexpensive, and provides a low radiation dose and bilateral view of the jaws compared to other advanced diagnostic tools. So, it is often used in assessing the impaction position of the impacted third molars. Panoramic radiography can be an appropriate technique for assessing impacted third molars, linear dimensions, and proximity to the maxillary sinus. Orthopantomography is normally used to estimate the association between the impacted upper third molar and the maxillary sinus. (Carvalho & do Egito Vasconcelos 2011; Lim, et al., 2012; Nasser et al. 2018)

There are few studies discussed about the types of impaction of the upper third molar and the correlation between the third molar and the floor of the maxillary sinus. (Jung, et al., 2012; Lim, et al., 2012) This study was performed to assess the relationship and position of maxillary third molars to maxillary sinus among Yemeni students by using orthopantomography.

The objectives of this study are to evaluate the incidence of mandibular third molar impaction for Yemeni students by using panoramic X-ray in which the null hypothesis was tested that there was no difference in the pattern of mandibular third molar impaction and angulation in comparison with other studies around the world.

2. Methodology

The current study was a retrospective analysis of college students, performed between 2018-2019 in the departments of Oral Radiology and Oral and maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Ibb University, Yemen. The study protocol was approved by the ethical and legal affairs of Ibb University with the agreement of students. All the necessary data were recorded in a case sheet that was obtained through clinical examination and x-ray findings.

A total of 200 students were examined; The age, gender, and the number of impacted third molars were also recorded. The students were excluded if they have any jaw pathology or sinusitis, any previous jaws trauma involving the dentition or third molar extractions, and had incomplete root formation or missing second molars, or incomplete records or poor radiographic quality.

Three professional examiners have checked the radiographs in a dark room with suitable illumination to distinguish the incidence of third molars impaction, depth, relation to the maxillary sinus and second molar, and angulation.

The relationship between maxillary third molars roots apices and the floor of maxillary sinus were evaluated and classified according to the following categories: Far: The space between maxillary third molar root apices and floor of maxillary sinus > 2mm; Close: The space between maxillary third molar root apices and floor of the maxillary sinus is between (0 - 2) mm; Inside: The maxillary third molar root apices are within the floor of the maxillary sinus. (Lim, et al., 2012)

The vertical impaction of maxillary third molars was determined by using Pell and Gregory's classification that assesses the relation of maxillary third molars to maxillary second molars as follows: Type A: The occlusal plane of the second and third molars are with the same level; Type B: The occlusal plane of the third molar are between the cervix and occlusal plane of maxillary second molar; Type C: The occlusal plane of the third molar is apical to the cervix of the maxillary second molar. And Type D was added: The maxillary third molar has overly erupted. (Mohammed Al-Sharani et al. 2021; Yurdabakan, et al., 2018), (Pell & Gregory 1933)

The angulation of maxillary third molars was observed based on Winter's classification as follows: Vertical: The maxillary third molar is parallel to the maxillary second; Horizontal: The maxillary third molar is perpendicular to the maxillary second; Mesio-angular: The maxillary third molar is mesially angulated; Disto-angular: The maxillary third molar is distally angulated; Bucco-lingual: The maxillary third molar is horizontal in buccolingual direction. (Kilic et al. 2010), (Winter , 1926)

The data was collected from the case sheets and inserted through Microsoft Excel 2016, then statically analyzed by IBM SPSS using t-test and χ^2 test, with a P-value considered significant if less than 0.05.

3. Results

In this study, panoramic radiographs of 200 students with 327 maxillary third molars were examined, 102 (51%) males and 98 (49%) females with an average age of 22.34.

The most shown congenitally missing maxillary third molar was on the right side, and it was more frequently in females (10.25%) than males (8.0%). The frequency distribution of maxillary third molar presence was studied based on gender, the results of which are shown in Table 1.

The approximation of maxillary third molars to the maxillary sinus has shown that females are predicted to have teeth within the maxillary sinus more than males in about 4.9% as seen in Table 2. The teeth were close to the sinus in about 60.5%, and this relation was significant.

The relationship between third molar to the second molar according to Pell and Gregory's Classification has recorded a significant association in which type A was the most occurring in about (52.9%) while type C showed the second with (25.38%) as shown in Table 3.

The vertical angulations of maxillary third molars have shown more vertical angulation (85.32%) followed by Distoangular which represented (13.14%) among our students as observed in Table 4.

Sex						
	Absent	One	Two	Three	Four	P Value
Male	5	2	10	11	74	
Female	5	0.485				
Total	10	5	22	29	134	

Table 1. Frequencies of third molar presence.

Source: Authors.

Table 2. Relationship of maxillary sinus and upper wisdom tooth

Maxillary Sinus Approximation			Absent		Far		Close		ide	P Value	
Maxillary Third Molars			L	R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L
Sex	Male	16	16	30	26	54	59	2	1	0.007	0.120
	Female	24	17	24	27	38	47	12	7		

Source: Authors.

Vertical Impaction		Type A		Туре В		Туре С		Type D		P Value	
Maxillary Third Molars		R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L
Sex	Male	53	54	10	15	18	14	5	3	0.040	0.070
	Female	31	35	16	14	24	27	3	5		

Table 3. Pell and Gregory's Classification assessing the relation of M3 to M2.

Source: Authors.

Third Molars Angulation		Ver	tical	Horizont al		Meisio- angular		Disto- angular		Bucco- lingual		P Value	
Maxillary Third Molars		R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L
Sex	Male	74	75	1	1	1	0	10	10	0	0	0.493	0.593
DUA	Female	63	67	1	0	0	0	10	13	0	1		

Table 4. Winter's Classification assessing the angulation of M3.

Source: Authors.

4. Discussion

When it comes to the surgical procedures in the area of maxillary posterior teeth, it is very important to gather accurate data regarding its relation to the floor of the maxillary sinus for achieving a safe teeth removal, especially upper third molars unless it might result in oroantral communication. (Demirtas & Harorli 2016) (Evlice & Duyan 2021)

In this study, it was aimed to investigate the position of the maxillary third molars and their approximation to the maxillary sinus as well as their different angulations and impaction status using panoramic radiographs which are easy to assess both sides and with affordable price. (Lim, et al., 2012; Shah, et al., 2014)

In the literature, many studies have evaluated the relationship between the maxillary sinus floor and the maxillary posterior teeth. However, few studies tested the relationship of the maxillary third molars with the proximity to the maxillary sinus in regards to angulation and impaction status in a Yemeni student's sample. (Kruger, et al., 2001; Ok et al. 2014)

The current study showed women presented greater impaction of the maxillary third molars which could be explained by the narrower jaws in comparison to men. (Mosquera-Valencia, et al., 2020)

While the rate of complete impaction of maxillary third molars was reported as 14.3% in a study done by Demirtas et al, it was recorded as 25.3% in our study which is in accordance with studies accomplished by Evlice et al. (Demirtas & Harorli 2016; Abulohom, F & Al-Shcarani H 2022, Evlice & Duyan 2021) The high level of impaction is a strong predisposing factor, especially for oroantral communication, because it designates that the tooth is more involved in the bone and therefore its proximity to the maxillary sinus. Due to the excessive amount of bone that should be removed during the removal of the wisdom tooth, the possibility of damaging the sinus is increased. According to Pell and Gregory's classification, the impaction level of the maxillary third molar tooth compared to the adjacent second molar tooth was most frequently observed as class A with 52.9%, and this result was similar to the data of many studies. (Alsadat-Hashemipour, et al., 2012; Demirtas &Harorli 2016; Yurdabakan, et al., 2018)

Our results showed that the vertical angulation of maxillary third molars predominate which agrees with studies Hashemipour et al and others, and conflicted with the research performed by Kruger et al who noticed that the most common angulation among the New Zealand population was mesioangular. (Alsadat-Hashemipour, et al., 2012; Demirtas & Harorli 2016; Kruger, et al., 2001) The contrast in studies may be related to the selected group age, sample sizes, races, and differences in the imaging technique used. A study by Wang et al reported that impacted third molars in mesioangular and horizontal positions increase the risk of root resorption of adjacent second molars. In this study, when the positions of the maxillary third molars were evaluated according to their angulations, the distoangular position with 13.4% while mesioangular and horizontal angulation was very low occurring at about 1.2%. (Yurdabakan, et al., 2018)

In our study, upper third molar roots exhibited about 6.7% to be in the sinus and about 60.5% are closed to the sinus in less than 2 mm which is similar to the study performed by Lim et al. (Lim, et al., 2012) Thus, dentists should be aware of the situation to avoid perforation.

5. Conclusion and Final Considerations

The following conclusions are considered in this study:

- 1. Panoramic X-rays are a very important tool for initial diagnosis.
- 2. The gender might affect in maxillary third molar missing congenitally.

3. Most maxillary third molar root apices are closed to the maxillary sinus increasing the risk of sinus perforation. moreover, the proximity of these vital structures should be considered to prevent infectious disease within the sinus. Thus, precautions should be taken before surgery, and CBCT is required to assess the exact relationship of the maxillary sinus and the maxillary third molar root apices during the treatment procedures.

4. The depth of impaction, Class A was shown most frequently in this study. The most common tooth position was vertical angulation.

References

Abulohom, F., Al-Sharani, H. M., Alhasani, A. H., Al-Muaalemi, Z., Al-Hutbany, N. A., Aldomaini, M. S., Al-Radhi, M. A., & Hu, T. Mandibular wisdom tooth impaction and angulation in relation to the mandibular ramus among yemeni students: prevalence and pattern . Research, Society and Development, [S. 1.], 11(6), e24011629015, 2022.

Alling, C. C., Helfrick, J. F., & Alling, R. D. 1993. Impacted Teeth. Philadelphia: W B Saunders.

Alsadat-Hashemipour, M, Mehrnaz Tahmasbi-Arashlow, & Farnaz Fahimi-Hanzaei. 2012. "Incidence of Impacted Mandibular and Maxillary Third Molars: A Radiographic Study in a Southeast Iran Population." Medicina oral, patologia oral y cirugia bucal 18.

Bouquet, A., et al. 2004. "Contribution of Reformatted Computer Tomography and Panoramic Radiography in the Localization of Third Molar Relative to the Maxillary Sinus." Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics 98: 342–47.

Brauer, H. U. 2009. "Unusual Complications Associated with Third Molar Surgery: A Systematic Review." Quintessence international 40 7: 565-72.

Carvalho, R. W. F., & Belmiro C. do E. V. 2011. "Assessment of Factors Associated with Surgical Difficulty during Removal of Impacted Lower Third Molars." Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery : official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons 69 11: 2714–21.

Demirtas, O., & Abubekir, H. 2016. "Evaluation of the Maxillary Third Molar Position and Its Relationship with the Maxillary Sinus: A CBCT Study." Oral Radiology 32(3): 173–79.

Evlice, B., & Hazal, D. 2021. "Maksiller Üçüncü Molar Dişlerin Konumu ve Maksiller Sinüsle İlişkisinin KIBT Ile Değerlendirilmesi Evaluation of Position and Relationship of Maxillary Third Molars with Maxillary Sinus Using CBCT." 7(2): 307–14.

Hugoson, A., & Christina, K. 1988. "The Prevalence of Third Molars in a Swedish Population. An Epidemiological Study." Community dental health 5 2: 121–38.

Jung, Yun-Hoa, Kyung-Soo, N., & Bong-Hae, C. 2012. "Correlation of Panoramic Radiographs and Cone Beam Computed Tomography in the Assessment of a Superimposed Relationship between the Mandibular Canal and Impacted Third Molars." Imaging science in dentistry 42: 121–27.

Katakam, S. 2012. "Comparison of Orthopantomography and Computed Tomography Image for Assessing the Relationship between Impacted Mandibular Third Molar and Mandibular Canal." The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice 13: 819–23.

Kilic, C., Kivanç, K., Selcen Y., & Tuncer, O. 2010. "An Assessment of the Relationship between the Maxillary Sinus Floor and the Maxillary Posterior Teeth Root Tips Using Dental Cone-Beam Computerized Tomography." European journal of dentistry 4: 462–67.

Kruger, E., William, T., & Priyangika, K. 2001. "Third Molar Outcomes from Age 18 to 26: Findings from a Population-Based New Zealand Longitudinal Study." Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics 92: 150–55.

Lim, A. A. T., Chin, W. W., & John, C. A. 2012. "Maxillary Third Molar: Patterns of Impaction and Their Relation to Oroantral Perforation." Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 70(5): 1035–39. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2012.01.032.

Lysell, L., & Madeleine, R. 1988. "A Study of Indications Used for Removal of the Mandibular Third Molar." International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 17(3): 161–64. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0901502788800225.

Mead, S V. 1930. "Incidence of Impacted Teeth." International Journal of Orthodontia, Oral Surgery and Radiography 16: 885-90.

Mohammed Al-Sharani, H., et al. 2021. "The Influence of Wisdom Tooth Impaction and Occlusal Support on Mandibular Angle and Condyle Fractures." Scientific Reports 11(1): 8335. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87820-9.

Mosquera-Valencia, Y., Daniel Vélez-Zapata, & Mariluz Velasquez-Velasquez. 2020. "Frequency of Impacted Third Molar Positions in Patients Treated in the IPS CES-Sabaneta-Antioquia." CES odontología / Instituto de Ciencias de la Salud 33: 22–29.

Nakayama, K., et al. 2009. "Assessment of the Relationship Between Impacted Mandibular Third Molars and Inferior Alveolar Nerve With Dental 3-Dimensional Computed Tomography." Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery : official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons 67: 2587–91.

Nasser, A., Ahmed, F. A., Naif, A., & Abdulaziz, A. 2018. "Correlation of Panoramic Radiograph and CBCT Findings in Assessment of Relationship between Impacted Mandibular Third Molars and Mandibular Canal in Saudi Population." Dental, Oral and Craniofacial Research 4.

Obayashi, N., et al. 2009. "CT Analyses of the Location of the Maxillary Third Molar in Relation to Panoramic Radiographic Appearance." Oral Radiology 25: 108–17.

Ok, E., et al. 2014. "Evaluation of the Relationship between the Maxillary Posterior Teeth and the Sinus Floor Using Cone-Beam Computed Tomography." Surgical and radiologic anatomy : SRA 36.

Patel, M, & K Down. 1994. "Accidental Displacement of Impacted Maxillary Third Molars." British Dental Journal 177(2): 57-59. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4808507.

Pell, Glenn J., & G Thaddeus Gregory. 1933. "Impacted Mandibular Third Molars: Classification and Modified Technique for Removal." The dental digest 39(9): 330–38.

Primo, B. T., et al. 2014. "Delayed Removal of Maxillary Third Molar Displaced into the Infratemporal Fossa." Revista Española de Cirugía Oral y Maxilofacial 36(2): 78–81. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1130055812000792.

Quek, S. L., et al. 2003. "Pattern of Third Molar Impaction in a Singapore Chinese Population: A Retrospective Radiographic Survey." International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 32: 548–52.

Şekerci, A., & Yildiray, Ş. 2013. "Comparison between Panoramic Radiography and Cone-Beam Computed Tomography Findings for Assessment of the Relationship between Impacted Mandibular Third Molars and the Mandibular Canal." Oral Radiology 30: 170–78.

Shah, N., Nikhil, B., & Ajay, L. 2014. "Recent Advances in Imaging Technologies in Dentistry." World journal of radiology 6(10): 794-807. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25349663.

Winter, G. B. 1926. Principles of Exodontia as Applied to the Impacted Mandibular Third Molar : A Complete Treatise on the Operative Technic with Clinical Diagnoses and Radiographic Interpretations. St. Louis, Mo.: American medical Book Company.

Yurdabakan, Z. Z., O. Okumus, & F. N. Pekiner. 2018. "Evaluation of the Maxillary Third Molars and Maxillary Sinus Using Cone-Beam Computed Tomography." Nigerian journal of clinical practice 21(8): 1050–58.